INTRODUCTION

Oral appliance therapy (OAT) is a proven, effective treatment for obstructive sleep apnea (OSA); however, it can only be effective when it is used, which is why compliance – “the extent to which a patient’s behaviors match the prescriber’s recommendations” – is a necessary component of OAT.

Over the years, qualified dentists have individually used various metrics to define a patient’s compliance. Currently, there is no standardized definition for oral appliance therapy (OAT) compliance.

In developing a standardized definition for OAT compliance, the task force recognizes that compliance involves two components – the number of hours of sleep and the number of hours of oral appliance (OA) use. The prescriber recommendations for OAT are that patients sleep a minimum of 7 hours per night and wear the appliance for the duration of their sleep every night. Although it is not always possible for patients to get the recommended amount of sleep, OAs are most therapeutically effective when patients sleep 7 hours or more, so qualified dentists should educate patients on the importance of proper sleep duration and encourage patients to get at least 7 hours of sleep each night. When treating patients with OSA, the qualified dentist becomes a frequent point of contact with the patient and plays an important role in encouraging habits that will increase the chances of OAT success.

Recognizing the above ideas, it is recommended that the patient sleep a minimum of seven (7) hours per night and wear the appliance for the duration of their sleep every night. OAT compliance is defined as the appliance being worn for a minimum of ≥80% per night, starting when the OA is placed in the mouth and ending when the OA is removed from the mouth, ≥5 nights per week.

Supported methods for measuring these parameters include questionnaires, sleep diaries, and microsensors.

Patient progress is a vital consideration in OAT compliance and can often be improved using positive encouragement. Additionally, the dentist should be attentive to patient comfort and any potential side effects related to OAT. A patient may be categorized as fully compliant, improving over time, or noncompliant. Given the long-term consequences of untreated OSA, patients deemed noncompliant after 1 year of use should be referred to their physician with a recommendation for alternative treatments.

DEFINITION OF OAT COMPLIANCE DISCUSSION

When developing this definition, the task force considered how compliance is defined in the very limited scientific literature, the accepted definition used to measure positive airway pressure compliance, and the clinical knowledge of the qualified dentist. The American Academy of Sleep Medicine recommends that adults sleep 7 or more hours per night for optimal health. Although this recommendation is optimal, the task force considered that the nightly hours of sleep vary substantially by patient. When determining the minimum necessary daily wear time for compliance, the task force acknowledged that an OA is most therapeutically effective when the patients sleeps 7 or more hours per night and the OA is worn for the duration of the patient’s sleep time. The task force acknowledges that many patients are simply unable to initially sleep 7 hours per night, but it is necessary that qualified dentists provide the education to encourage patients to get this amount of sleep and that this be factored into OAT compliance.

Studies have indicated that higher rates of adjusted compliance (mean appliance wear time divided by total sleep time) are associated with reduction in disease. Dieltjens and colleagues indicated that among patients using OAT, adjusted compliance (objectively measured) was 86.1%, with therapeutic efficacy at 63.7% and mean disease alleviation (MDA) at 54.9%. Another study found the adjusted compliance to be 91.2%, the treatment efficacy to be 56%, and the MDA to be 51.1%. Thus, higher rates of compliance are associated with good levels of treatment effectiveness.

When determining the appropriate weekly wear time,
questionnaires and sleep diaries were appropriate for measuring OA compliance. However, at minimum, the questionnaire should include questions to assess patients’ nocturnal and daytime symptoms (snoring, witnessed apneas, gasping, sleepiness). In the adult population, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale and Berlin and STOP-BANG questionnaires are the most used.21-23 The questionnaire also must gather information regarding how many days a week the patient uses the OA on average, average sleep duration, and what time the patient commonly inserts the OA and removes the OA.

Sleep Diaries

Sleep diaries were determined to be appropriate for clinical use to measure compliance. They are simple to use and administer. Currently, there is no standardized, validated sleep diary to measure compliance; however, samples of sleep diaries are readily available for patient use. Patients should be instructed to complete the sleep log daily. At minimum, the patient should track their sleep duration, as well as the time the OA was inserted and when it was removed each night. The patient should also indicate if they are experiencing any nocturnal or daytime symptoms (e.g., snoring, witnessed apneas, gasping, sleepiness) to provide the qualified dentist with an indication of any potential issues with therapeutic effectiveness.

METHODS FOR MEASURING COMPLIANCE

DISCUSSION

Supported Methods

SUBJECTIVE METHODS:

With the advent of objective compliance monitoring devices, some studies have argued that objective methods are ideal because they avoid the potential bias of patient self-report.18 Additionally, one study indicated that self-report can slightly overestimate appliance wear time when compared to objective compliance measurement.6 Nevertheless, other studies have indicated that objective and subjective reporting of compliance is highly similar in accuracy.7,8,19,20 Thus, the task force determined that questionnaires and sleep diaries were appropriate subjective methods for measuring OA compliance.

Questionnaires

Questionnaires were determined to be appropriate for clinical use to measure compliance. These are forms that are completed at follow-up visits. They are simple to use and administer. Currently, there is no standardized, validated questionnaire to measure OA compliance. However, at minimum, the questionnaire should include questions to assess patients’ nocturnal and daytime symptoms (snoring, witnessed apneas, gasping, sleepiness). In the adult population, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale and Berlin and STOP-BANG questionnaires are the most used.21-23 The questionnaire also must gather information regarding how many days a week the patient uses the OA on average, average sleep duration, and what time the patient commonly inserts the OA and removes the OA.

Sleep Diaries

Sleep diaries were determined to be appropriate for clinical use to measure compliance. They are simple to use and administer. Currently, there is no standardized, validated sleep diary to measure compliance; however, samples of sleep diaries are readily available for patient use. Patients should be instructed to complete the sleep log daily. At minimum, the patient should track their sleep duration, as well as the time the OA was inserted and when it was removed each night. The patient should also indicate if they are experiencing any nocturnal or daytime symptoms (e.g., snoring, witnessed apneas, gasping, sleepiness) to provide the qualified dentist with an indication of any potential issues with therapeutic effectiveness.

OBJECTIVE METHODS:

Microsensors

The task force determined that microsensors are also appropriate for measuring OA compliance. Microsensors are usually embedded in the OA and measure compliance in a variety of ways. Some measure temperature to determine when the appliance is being worn by the patient.9, 10, 14, 16, 24 For example, one design of thermosensor operates by recording the OA as being in use every time it reaches a temperature of 35°C or higher. The thermosensor can take a measurement every 15 minutes for 100 consecutive days.10,14,16 One study determined these temperature data loggers were “only minimally cytotoxic and are effective for measuring compliance with the use of OAs”.24 Other microsensors use radiofrequency identification to transfer compliance data to a computer.8,19

Advantages of microsensors are that they objectively monitor compliance and tend to be accurate when compared to subjective report.19 they can often connect to
data cloud services, have a long battery life (2 years), are biocompatible, and are efficient with memory and power, which can be beneficial for long-term studies of compliance. Disadvantages can include failure of seals and battery contamination as well as possible lower amounts of data storage (6 months). Thermosensors can also be manipulated by placing the appliance in a temperature medium at or above 35°C, mimicking the core minimum temperature of the body. Additionally, patients may be uncomfortable with their data being transmitted to the clinician without their knowledge. At this stage, microsensors do not calculate sleep duration, so it is important for dentists to gather information from the patient about average sleep duration.

Unsupported Methods

The task force also determined that some of the methods reviewed are not appropriate for measuring a patient’s compliance:

- Clinical evaluation by a specialist (clinician estimation of the time of wear based on evaluation of appliance condition and patient report of their symptomology) was determined to be inappropriate for clinical use. This method may provide an inaccurate estimate of a patient’s compliance with treatment. A patient’s compliance cannot be determined by evaluating a patient’s personality traits. The evidence is too limited to be deemed appropriate. However, patient personality traits may be better utilized in predicting future adherence and personalizing patient education.
- Tooth microphones that were attached to the OA and traversed the lips to be connected to a computer and the use of fiberoptic sensors that monitored the presence of the OA through pressure and temperature changes were evaluated. Both only had one proof-of-concept paper describing the method and were not currently appropriate ways to measure compliance in the clinical setting.
- The task force also considered the use of pulse oximetry and pneumatic actuators to measure compliance. However, the articles reviewed merely discussed these tools in the context of appliance titration and gave no proof for their usefulness for compliance measurement.

Forthcoming Technologies

The task force also indicated that there are a number of potential future devices that may aid in the measurement of OAT compliance. For example, consumer sleep technologies (apps and wearables that measure sleep-related metrics) are becoming more ubiquitous. In the future, these technologies could be leveraged for patients to easily measure compliance, including sleep duration, at home. For example, mobile applications could be designed to track time in bed as well as daily wear time.

METHODOLOGY

Consensus Conference Process

The American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine Board of Directors selected seven experts to participate in this task force, all of whom have extensive knowledge of dental sleep medicine. None of these task force members declared conflicts of interest that were relevant to this topic.

The task force used a modified version of the RAND/UCLA Appropriate Method to conduct the consensus conference. The task force took into consideration their own clinical experience, relevant literature on the topic, clinical practicality, and patient preference when making their decisions.

Literature Search and Review

A literature search of PubMed was conducted using permutations of relevant search terms. Additionally, the bibliographies of pertinent articles were also reviewed for any other key articles.

Search terms related to treatment included: oral, intraoral, dental, orthodontic(s), mandibular, tongue retaining, tongue stabilizing, occlusal, titratable/titrated, appliance(s), splint(s), device(s).

Search terms related to disease included: sleep apnea, sleep apnea syndromes, sleep-related breathing disorder(s), sleep-disordered breathing, snoring.

Search terms related to compliance included: compliance, adherence.

Commentaries, biographies, editorials, news/newspaper articles, addresses, letters, and case reports were also excluded from the original search. Papers were not included if they only addressed long-term compliance. After all irrelevant articles were excluded, the final set of articles totaled 57. An additional two articles were recommended by task force members for review for a final count of 59. The articles were divided among the task force members, based on article topic.

Consensus Conference

A virtual conference was held on October 16, 2020. The conference was conducted via video call due to travel difficulties related to COVID-19. Prior to the conference, the task force was sent a comprehensive list of possible elements for a compliance definition and possible methods to measure compliance. This list was based on the literature and task force input.
For the first round of voting, task force members were asked to independently rate each definition element and measurement method on a scale of 1 to 9, with 1 indicating that the element or method was inappropriate and 9 indicating that it was appropriate. The task force was instructed to vote based on their clinical expertise and the literature reviewed. Prior to the conference, task force members were also asked to record presentations on the literature reviewed. Each task force member watched these presentations prior to the conference.

During the conference, task force members discussed the literature and their clinical experiences and then voted a second time on each of the definition elements and compliance measurement methods. For the discussion on the definition, a third vote was held. Elements on which the task force did not reach consensus were eliminated. A yes/no vote was held to determine the final elements to include in the definition. For the discussion on measurement methods, a second vote was held and if consensus was not achieved, a third vote was held.

According to RAND/UCLA rules, unanimity is not required to reach consensus. Rather, for a group of this size, five of seven task force members had to be in agreement for consensus to be reached.

**LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

As with all consensus documents, these recommendations rely on the clinical expertise of the task force in conjunction with literature. Although a thorough search of the literature was conducted, it could not be conducted as a systematic review. Thus, the task force acknowledges that some literature may not have been included. In addition, the studies reviewed also had limitations as well. Many had small sample sizes, were not randomized, had no control groups, and had short follow-up periods with patients. Furthermore, many articles did not directly test the definition of compliance or measurement methods.

The task force recommended that future research be conducted regarding compliance with OAT. Specifically, the task force made the following recommendations:

- Validated and standardized questionnaires and sleep diaries for OA compliance should be evaluated and tested.
- The definition of OA compliance, as stated in this paper, should be directly tested to determine its effect on treatment success.
- Larger studies should be conducted that have long-term follow-up, randomization, and control groups.
- Technologies and methods for which there was limited evidence (personality traits, tooth microphones, fiberoptic sensors) should continue to be tested. The technology should continue to be refined and optimized (eg, wireless technology, pulse oximetry).
- Forthcoming technologies should be tested for potential applicability to OA compliance.
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